

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**STIPULATION REGARDING PUBLIC
REFILING OF DOCUMENTS
PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 79.5 AND
ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
DENYING IN PART ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL (DKT
2237)**

1 WHEREAS, on November 20, 2017, the Court entered an order granting in part and denying
2 in part administrative motions to file under seal re dkt. 1237, 1278, 1280, 1291, 1316, 1328, 1358,
3 1354, 1367, 1374, 1434, 1437 (“the Order”) (Dkt. 2237);

4 WHEREAS, Local Rule 79.5(f)(3) provides “If the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
5 is denied or granted in part, the document sought to be sealed will not be considered by the Court
6 unless the Submitting Party files a revised redacted version of the document which comports with the
7 Court's order within 7 days after the motion is denied”;

8 WHEREAS, under Local Rule 79.5(f)(3), revised redacted versions of the documents
9 referenced in the Order would be due Monday, November 27, 2017;

10 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) and Defendants Uber Technologies Inc. and
11 Ottomotto LLC (“Defendants”) met and conferred on November 22, 2017;

12 WHEREAS, Waymo and Defendants are considering moving for reconsideration of limited
13 parts of the Order.

14 WHEREAS, Waymo and Defendants agreed to seek an extension from the Court to the
15 deadline for filing revised redacted versions of the documents ruled upon in the Order, in light of the
16 Thanksgiving holiday and ongoing preparations for the pre-trial conference, jury selection, and the
17 start of trial;

18 THEREFORE, the parties stipulate as follows:

- 19 1. The deadline for public refiling of revised redacted versions as set forth in the Order (Dkt.
20 2237) will be extended until Friday, December 1, 2017.

21 IT IS STIPULATED.

1 DATED: November 24, 2017

2 /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven

3 Charles K. Verhoeven

4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
5 SULLIVAN, LLP
6 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

7 DATED: November 24, 2017

8 /s/ Arturo J. Gonzalez

9 Arturo J. Gonzalez

10 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
11 Attorneys for UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.
12 AND OTTOMOTTO LLC

13 DATED: November 24, 2017

14 /s/ Neel Chatterjee

15 Neel Chatterjee

16 GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
17 Attorneys for OTTO TRUCKING LLC

18 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 DATED: _____, 2017

20 _____
21 The Honorable Jacqueline S. Corley

ATTESTATION

In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Arturo J. Gonzalez and Neel Chatterjee.

By: /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven
Charles K. Verhoeven